Table 8.1. QA/QC results for the Oak Ridge Reservation, 1995

Program	Total number of analytes	Acceptable	
		Total	Percentage
EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) <sup>a</sup>			92.69
EPA Discharge Monitoring Report Quality Assurance Study $(DMR)^b$	92	91	98.91
AIHA Environmental Lead Proficiency Analytical Testing Program (ELPAT)	68	59	86.76
DOE Environmental Measurements Laboratory (EML)	218	195	89.45
EPA National Exposure Research Laboratory at Las Vegas (NERL-LV) Intercomparison Ra-	132	111	84.09
dionuclide Control Program <sup>c</sup>			
DOE Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP)	145	137	97.36
AIHA Proficiency Analytical Testing Program $(PAT)^d$	312	306	98.08
Proficiency Environmental Testing Program (PET)	4831	4740	98.12
Water Pollution Performance Evaluation QC Program $(WP)^c$	341	332	97.36
Water Supply Laboratory Performance QC Program (WS)	201	190	94.53

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The CLP scores its results on other factors besides quantitation. An average score was determined by averaging each site's average score from the CLP.

\*Includes toxicology data from the ORNL Environmental Sciences Division in addition to the Analytical

Services Organization.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>All data not available at press time.

<sup>d</sup>Includes asbestos data from the K-25 Site Technical Division and organics and asbestos data from the ORNL Industrial Hygiene Department, as well as data from the Analytical Services Organization.